



United States Patent and Trademark Office

4hr

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspro.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,188	07/09/2001	W. Benjamin Payne		5756
5	7590 02/11/2003			
Frank C. Price 13812 Sand-hurst PL Santa And, CA 92705			EXAMINER	
		·	FRANK, RODNEY T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	2		
· Advisory Action	09/900,188	PAYNE, W. BENJAMIN			
y	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rodney T. Frank	2856			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice i) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chapter chapter chapter chapter chapter chapter chapter.			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverset, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee unde the final Office action; or (2) as set forth i	in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) M they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the	he		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendmer	ıt		
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>[,4-7</u> .		122	16/0		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10. Other:		HELEN KWOK PRIMARY EXAMINER			

Application No.

Continuation Sneet (P10-303) 09/900,188

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amended claim 7 and new claim 8 have a limitation where the temperature is determined based on common gas/laws. This would be a new consideration requiring additional search. Furthermore, this is a limitation that is not supported in the specification, so it would constitute new matter.